REMARKS

[0001] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the

claims of the application. The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1, 26-31, 41, 43-45, 51, 55, 57-59 and 67 are currently pending.

Claims 2-25, 32-40, 42, 46-50, 52-54, 56, 60-66 and 68-70 are withdrawn herein.

Claims 1, 27, 41, and 59 are amended herein

Allowed Claims

[0002] The Office Action indicates that claims 41, 43-45, 51, 55, 57, 58 and 67 are

allowable. Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for allowing claims 41, 43-45, 51.

55, 57, 58 and 67. Claim 41 is amended herein to correct minor informalities.

Otherwise, these claims have not been amended, and therefore remain in condition for

allowance.

[0003] The Office Action indicates that claim 59 would be allowable if applicant

overcomes the 112, second paragraph rejection above. Applicant hereby amends claim

59. as shown above, to comply with the statutory requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112,

second paragraph. Applicant respectfully submits that this amendment renders the

§ 112, second paragraph rejections moot and thus places claim 59 in condition for

allowance.

Serial No.: 10/621,260 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1528US Atty/Agent: Elizabeth J. Zehr

-16-

lee@hayes The Business of IP®

www.leehayes.com o 509.324.9256

Claim Objections

[0004] Claim 41 stands objected to because of minor informalities. Applicant has

amended claim 41, as suggested by the Office. Applicant thanks the Office for the

suggested amendment.

Claims 1, 26-31 and 59 Comply With § 112 Second Paragraph

[0005] Claims 1, 26-31 and 59 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

[0006] Nevertheless, for the sole purpose of expediting prosecution and without

acquiescing in the propriety of the Office's rejections, Applicant herein amends claims 1,

27, and 59 as shown above. Claims 26-31 dependent from claim 1. Applicant

respectfully submits that these amendments render the § 112, second paragraph

rejections moot.

Cited Documents

[0007] The following documents have been applied to reject one or more claims of

the Application:

Viola: Viola, "Robust Real-Time Object Detection", 2nd Intl Workshop on

Statistical and Computational Theories of Vision - Modeling, Learning,

Computing and Sampling, July 2001.

Wright Wright et al, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0088894.

Serial No.: 10/621,260 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1528US Atty/Agent: Elizabeth J. Zehr

-17- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

www.leehayes.com • 509.324.9256

• Al: Ai, et al, "A Subspace Approach to Face Detection with Support Vector

Machines", IEEE, 2002, pp 45-48.

Rowley: Rowley et al., "Neural Network-Based Face Detection", PAME IEEE,

January 1998, all pages.

§ 103 Rejections

[0008] Claims 1, 26, 28-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly

being obvious over Viola in view of Wright and Ai and noted with Rowley. Applicant

respectfully traverses the rejection.

[0009] Without further comment or prejudice as to the merits of the Examiner's

rejections, and without prejudice to the filing of subsequent continuation or divisional

applications, Applicant hereby amends independent claim 1 to expedite the issuance of

the subject matter acknowledged as being allowable by the Office. Specifically,

Applicant has amended claim 1 to include, in part, subject matter presented in claim 41

that is indicated as allowable by the Office.

[0010] Applicant submits that these amendments thereby place independent claim 1

in condition for allowance. Dependent claims 26 and 28-31 dependent from

independent claim 1. Thus, applicant submits that claims 1, 26, and 28-31 are in

condition for allowance.

Serial No.: 10/621,260 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1528US Atty/Agent: Elizabeth J. Zehr

-18- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

www.leehayes.com • 509.324.9256

Allowed Claims

[0011] Claim 27 is objected to as depending from a rejected base claim. As noted

above. Independent claim 1 is amended to expedite the issuance of the subject matter

acknowledged as being allowable by the Office. Dependent claim 27 depends from

Independent claim 1. In light of the above noted amendments to independent claim 1.

Applicant submits that claim 27 is now in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the

application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the Examiner

is urged to contact the undersigned representative for the Applicant before issuing a

subsequent Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Haves, PLLC Representative for Applicant

Elizabeth J. Zehr Registration No 64013

Reviewer: Damon J. Kruger Registration No 60400

Dated: 9/28/2009

Serial No.: 10/621,260 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1528US Atty/Agent: Elizabeth J. Zehr

-19lee@hayes The Business of IP*

www.leehayes.com • 509,324,9256